

## **SENTINEL CBER/PRISM SURVEILLANCE PROTOCOL**

### **INFLUENZA VACCINES AND FEBRILE SEIZURES IN THE 2013-2014 AND 2014-2015 INFLUENZA SEASONS**

**Prepared by:** Noelle M. Cocoros, DSc, MPH,<sup>1</sup> Christopher Jankosky, MD, MPH,<sup>2</sup> Alison T. Kawai, ScD,<sup>1</sup> Katherine Yih, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Lingling Li, PhD,<sup>1</sup> David Menschik, MD, MPH,<sup>2</sup> Meghan Baker, MD, ScD,<sup>1</sup> Cheryl N. McMahon-Walraven, PhD, MSW<sup>3</sup>, Mano S. Selvan, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Nandini Selvam, PhD, MPH<sup>5</sup>, Nancy Lin, ScD<sup>6</sup>, and Grace M. Lee, MD, MPH<sup>1</sup>

**Author Affiliations:** 1. Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA; 2. FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, Silver Spring, MD; 3. Aetna, Blue Bell, PA; 4. Comprehensive Health Insights, Humana Inc., Louisville, KY; 5. HealthCore, Inc., Alexandria, VA; 6. OptumInsight Inc, Waltham, MA

**Version 1 September 11, 2015**

**Version 2 August 1, 2016**

Sentinel is a project sponsored by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to support monitoring the safety of FDA-regulated medical products. The Sentinel initiative is a multi-faceted effort by the FDA to develop a national electronic system that will complement existing methods of safety surveillance. The Post-licensure Rapid Immunization Safety Monitoring (PRISM) program is the vaccine safety component of Sentinel. Sentinel Collaborators include Data and Academic Partners that provide access to health care data and ongoing scientific, technical, methodological, and organizational expertise. The Sentinel Coordinating Center is funded by the FDA through the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Contract number HHSF223201400030I.

Version	Date	Modification	By
V2	7/22/2016	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removed the secondary objective from the objectives and activities section: assessing background rates in three prior influenza seasons.</li> <li>• Removed mention of the 4<sup>th</sup> activity (secondary activity) from the analysis plan section.</li> <li>• We have removed CPT code 90653 (influenza virus vaccine, inactivated, subunit, adjuvanted, for intramuscular use) from the list of codes to identify IIV. We have similarly removed CPT code 90721 (DTaP-Hib) from the list of codes to identify DTaP-containing vaccines.</li> </ul>	Workgroup for Influenza vaccines and febrile seizures in the 2013-2014 and 2014-2015 influenza seasons.

## SENTINEL CBER/PRISM SURVEILLANCE PROTOCOL

### Influenza Vaccines and Febrile Seizures In The 2013-2014 And 2014-2015 Influenza Seasons

#### Table of Contents

<b>I. BACKGROUND</b> .....	<b>- 1 -</b>
A. PUBLIC HEALTH SIGNIFICANCE AND STUDY MOTIVATION .....	- 1 -
<b>II. OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES</b> .....	<b>- 2 -</b>
<b>III. METHODS</b> .....	<b>- 2 -</b>
A. STUDY POPULATION .....	- 2 -
B. STUDY DESIGN.....	- 3 -
C. EXPOSURE .....	- 4 -
D. CASE DEFINITION .....	- 5 -
E. POTENTIAL CONFOUNDERS AND EFFECT MODIFIERS .....	- 6 -
F. ANALYSIS PLAN.....	- 7 -
<b>IV. POWER CALCULATIONS</b> .....	<b>- 8 -</b>
<b>V. DATA SET CREATION</b> .....	<b>- 9 -</b>
<b>VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.
<b>VII. REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>- 10 -</b>

## I. BACKGROUND

### A. PUBLIC HEALTH SIGNIFICANCE AND STUDY MOTIVATION

During the 2010 Southern Hemisphere influenza season in Australia, an increased risk of febrile seizures was found in children 6 months to 4 years of age in the 24 hours following a trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (TIV) manufactured by CSL Biotherapies (Fluvax<sup>®</sup>, Fluvax Junior<sup>®</sup>)<sup>1</sup>. As a result, in the summer of 2010, the U.S. Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommended that Afluria<sup>®</sup> (an antigenically equivalent U.S.-licensed vaccine manufactured by CSL Biotherapies) should not be used in children ages 6 months through 8 years. However, the ACIP recommendations stated that Afluria could be used in children 5 through 8 years of age if they had medical conditions that increased the risk for influenza complications and no other licensed influenza vaccines were available. The FDA also updated the Warnings and Precautions sections of the Prescribing Information for Afluria to inform healthcare professionals that administration of a 2010 Southern Hemisphere TIV manufactured by CSL Biotherapies had been associated with an increased risk of fever and febrile seizure among young children, predominantly those less than 5 years of age, in Australia<sup>2,3</sup>. Subsequently, the FDA approved use of Afluria was changed from 6 months of age and older to 5 years of age and older. The finding in Australia was the first associating influenza vaccination with increased risk of febrile seizures; several studies of influenza vaccines conducted in the U.S. in seasons prior to 2010-11 did not suggest an elevated risk of seizures following influenza vaccination.<sup>4-7</sup>

A study was conducted within Mini-Sentinel to examine the risk of febrile seizures following administration of 2010-11 TIV in children ages 6-59 months and to compare the risk of febrile seizures following same day vs. separate day administration of TIV and Prevnar 13 (PCV13) and/or DTaP.<sup>8</sup> The study showed no statistically significant association between TIVs and increased risk of febrile seizures. Using a self-controlled risk interval (SCRI) design, Kawai and colleagues reported incidence rate ratios of 1.36 (95% CI 0.78, 2.39) for TIV, 1.02 (95% CI 0.53, 1.96) for DTaP, and 1.61 (95% CI 0.91, 2.82) for PCV13, after adjusting for concomitant vaccination, age, and calendar time. In addition, same day vaccination with TIV and PCV13 did not show a statistically significant association with febrile seizure when compared to separate day vaccination. The evaluation included 68 TIV-exposed cases and was the largest active surveillance study to examine the association between 2010-11 TIV and febrile seizures in the United States.

Yih and colleagues also evaluated the risk of seizures in children after influenza vaccination utilizing prospective sequential analysis within Mini-Sentinel during the 2013-14 surveillance season.<sup>9</sup> A statistical signal was identified at the 7<sup>th</sup> “look” in children 6-23 months who received inactivated (trivalent or quadrivalent) influenza vaccine (IIV) with concomitant PCV13, where the comparison group was IIV vaccinees from historical seasons prior to the widespread use of PCV13. The cumulative number of observed events in the risk interval (0-1 days) was 9 and the expected count was 3 (RR 3.1); by the last, 10<sup>th</sup> look, there were 12 cases observed, 4.5 expected, and a RR of 2.7. In contrast, the primary, SCRI analysis conducted within the study did not reveal any statistical signals, with 2 events in the risk interval and 5 events in the control interval (14-20 days) for a RR of 1.4. Additionally, no statistical signal was identified for seizures in children 6-23 months *without* concomitant PCV13 in either design. In the absence of PCV13, the RRs for seizures following TIV were 0.60 for the current vs. historical design and 0.37 for SCRI. Note that this study was designed to address the risk of seizures following IIV and so the association between PCV13 vaccination alone and seizures could not be assessed.

Several possibilities have been considered to explain the statistical signal identified in the Mini-Sentinel prospective sequential study for the 2013-14 season. Seizures in concomitant IIV and PCV13 vaccinees were analyzed separately from seizures in children who received IIV without PCV13. The signal arose from a comparison of observed seizure counts in concomitant IIV and PCV13 vaccinees with expected counts based on post-IIV seizure rates from before PCV13 vaccine was in general use. If the IIV vaccinees had been pooled (i.e., with no distinction with respect to concomitant PCV13 vaccination), there would have been no signal but rather 20 observed cases vs. 18.4 expected, for a RR of 1.1. Lacking data on the risk of seizures in PCV13 vaccinees not receiving IIV, the Post-Licensure Rapid Immunization Safety Monitoring Study (PRISM) prospective surveillance study was unable to determine whether the signal, if real, was due to the PCV13 vaccine entirely, or to some interaction between 2013-14 IIV and PCV13.

The overall objective for the present study is to evaluate the statistical signal for seizures after concomitant IIV and PCV13 vaccination that was identified in current-versus-historical sequential analysis during 2013-14. We will use Sentinel electronic data from two influenza seasons – 2013-14 and 2014-15, which had the same IIV vaccine formulation – and a SCRI study design to conduct this signal follow-up analysis. By using the SCRI design, we will minimize confounding, and our comparison will be of the risk in exposed vs. unexposed time from the same vaccinees rather than of the risk in exposed time of vaccinees in the seasons of interest vs. of different vaccinees in historical seasons. By using data from two seasons combined, we will increase power. This study will also provide insight into the role of PCV13 vaccination in generating the 2013-14 statistical signal by collecting information on the risk of febrile seizures among those who received only the PCV13 vaccine, to enable distinguishing between the confounding and interactive effects of this vaccine.

## II. OBJECTIVES AND ACTIVITIES

The objective is to evaluate the statistical signal for seizures after concomitant IIV and PCV13 vaccination that was identified in current-versus-historical sequential analysis during 2013-14.

Primary activities:

1. To estimate the relative risk (RR) of febrile seizures following any IIV dose in the 2013-14 and 2014-15 seasons for children ages 6 through 23 months using a self-controlled risk interval design, adjusting for confounding by concomitant vaccination with PCV13, age, and seasonality.
2. To estimate the RR of febrile seizures following any PCV13 dose in the 2013-14 and 2014-15 seasons for children ages 6 through 23 months using a self-controlled risk interval design, adjusting for confounding by concomitant vaccination with IIV, age, and seasonality.
3. To explore whether the relative risk of febrile seizures after IIV is modified by concomitant vaccination with PCV13.

## III. METHODS

### A. STUDY POPULATION

The proposed Data Partners for participation in this activity are HealthCore, Aetna, Optum, and Humana. The population will consist of children 6 through 23 months of age who were members of any of the participating Data Partners for all of or a portion of the period of interest, July 1, 2013 to June 30, 2015. Within this population, children will be included in the study if they received a dose of IIV or

PCV13 during the study period and, at a minimum, were enrolled in medical coverage from 180 days prior to vaccination through 20 days after vaccination. We have elected to use the enrollment criterion of 180 days prior to vaccination to optimize the ability to identify history of seizure and patient comorbidities, while balancing the possibility of a large loss of case numbers with a stricter pre-vaccination enrollment criterion.

## B. STUDY DESIGN

We propose to use the SCRI design to achieve the study objectives. As described in the PRISM 2010-11 study protocol by Kawai and colleagues, this design is well-suited to study well-defined clinical events that have acute and transient effects. Because the SCRI design compares risk in a risk vs. control interval within vaccinated individuals, it implicitly controls for bias due to time invariant confounders, such as race and socioeconomic status. Additionally, by only including vaccinated individuals, it avoids exposure misclassification resulting from individuals receiving influenza vaccines in non-traditional settings, which may not be captured in the current study's data sources (i.e., claims data). The potential disadvantage of this study design is that it does not implicitly adjust for time-varying confounders such as age or calendar time (i.e., seasonality), though bias can be minimized by selecting risk and control intervals relatively close in time. An additional limitation is that the period of possibly elevated risk must be specified fairly accurately—the validity of the SCRI design depends on there being minimal excess risk due to vaccination in the control interval. We will allow a sufficient period of time (i.e., 12 days) to elapse between the risk and control intervals to allow for a wash out period.

As Figure 1 illustrates, exposed person time will be in the defined risk interval of 0-1 days post-vaccination<sup>i</sup> and unexposed person time will consist of person time in a control interval beyond the risk interval (days 14-20). In order to adjust for confounding by co-administration with 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV13) and/or diphtheria tetanus and pertussis (DTaP) containing vaccines, we will collect information on febrile seizures in the similarly defined risk interval of 0-1 days post-vaccination and control interval of 14-20 days post-vaccination for these other vaccines, *regardless of co-administration with IIV*. We have elected to use this control interval for two main reasons: (a) a longer control interval produces more stable estimates of the background rate of febrile seizures, compared to a one or two day comparison interval, (b) this interval is identical to prior Vaccine Safety Datalink and PRISM studies and enables this study to directly add to the existing safety information, and (c) this interval avoids overlap with the known increased risk of febrile seizures in the 7-10 days following measles containing vaccines which may have been given on the same day.<sup>11,12</sup> The unequal lengths of time for the risk and control intervals will be accounted for in analysis.

---

<sup>i</sup> A literature review performed by the Risk Interval Working Group of the Clinical Immunization Safety Assessment Network, an external collaboration between the CDC and six medical research centers, informed the choice of the 0-1 day risk interval for this protocol and for that used by Kawai et al and Yih et al in the preceding PRISM studies. See reference number 10. Rowhani-Rahbar A, Klein NP, Dekker CL, et al. Biologically plausible and evidence-based risk intervals in immunization safety research. *Vaccine* 2012;31:271-7.



**Table 1: Vaccine codes to identify potential administration of IIVs. NDC codes will also be used to identify potential administration of IIV products.**

Description	Code	Code Type
Influenza virus vaccine, split virus, preservative free, for children 6-35 months of age, for intramuscular use	90655	CPT
Influenza virus vaccine, split virus, for children 6-35 months of age, for intramuscular use	90657	CPT
Influenza virus vaccine, quadrivalent, split virus, preservative free, when administered to children 6-35 months of age, for intramuscular use	90685	CPT
Influenza virus vaccine, quadrivalent, split virus, when administered to children 6-35 months of age, for intramuscular use	90687	CPT
Administration of influenza virus vaccine	G0008	HCPCS

#### D. CASE DEFINITION

##### *Identifying potential febrile seizure cases (ICD9 data)*

Potential cases of febrile seizure will be identified in the electronic data using two case definitions, both based on ICD9 diagnosis codes:

- Narrow case definition (primary): 780.31 (febrile seizures) or 780.32 (complex febrile seizures) in the inpatient or emergency department (ED) setting. In the 2010-11 PRISM study, this case definition had a positive predictive value of 91% and accounted for >90% of the chart-confirmed febrile seizure cases. Only codes that are the first in a 42-day period (occurring in *any* setting) will be included to avoid including follow-up visits for seizure episodes.
- Broad case definition (secondary): 780.3 (convulsions), 780.31 (febrile seizures), 780.32 (complex febrile seizures), or 780.39 (other) in the inpatient or emergency department (ED) setting. This was the case definition used in the 2013-14 PRISM study which generated a statistical signal; it had a PPV of ~70% in 2010-11 study. Only codes that are the first in a 42-day period (occurring in *any* setting) will be included to avoid including follow-up visits for seizure episodes.



## E. POTENTIAL CONFOUNDERS AND EFFECT MODIFIERS

Because the study design is self-controlled, the analysis will be inherently adjusted for measured and unmeasured confounders that do not vary over relatively short periods of time, such as gender, race/ethnicity, and chronic disorders. However, because concomitant vaccinations may act as confounders and/or effect modifiers, we will collect information on these factors and adjust for/examine their effects in multivariate regression.

In the primary analysis, we will adjust for age in weeks and calendar time in weeks, using background rates from vaccinated and unvaccinated children within the four participating Data Partners and methods comparable to those in the PRISM 2010-11 study. A secondary analysis will be conducted without adjustments for age or calendar time.

Information on febrile seizures following vaccines commonly administered concomitantly with IIV – PCV13 and DTaP-containing vaccines specifically – will be collected using the same outcome definitions described and will be examined as potential confounders or effect modifiers. The analysis on concomitant vaccines will focus on PCV13. Adjustment for concomitant vaccination with DTaP-containing vaccines will be included in a secondary model since inclusion of the covariate may negatively impact power due to anticipated low case numbers.

PCV13 will be identified in claims data using CPT, HCPCS, and NDC (Table 2).

**Table 2: Codes used to identify potential administration of Prevnar 13. NDC codes corresponding to the vaccines in this table will also be used to identify potential administration of Prevnar 13.**

Description	Code	Code Type
Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, 13 valent, for intramuscular use	90670	CPT
Pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, polyvalent, for children under five years, for intramuscular use	90669	CPT
Administration of pneumococcal vaccine	G0009	HCPCS
Patient documented to have received pneumococcal vaccination	G8115	HCPCS
Pneumococcal vaccine administered or previously received	G8864	HCPCS
Pneumococcal screening performed and documentation of vaccination received prior to discharge	G9279	HCPCS

DTaP will be defined as DTaP alone or administered in any combination vaccine and will be identified in claims data using CPT codes (Table 3).

**Table 3: Vaccine codes to identify potential administration of DTaP containing vaccines. NDC codes corresponding to the vaccines in this table will also be used to identify potential administration of DTaP containing vaccines.**

Description	Code	Code Type
Diphtheria, tetanus toxoids, and acellular pertussis vaccine, haemophilus influenza Type B, and poliovirus vaccine, inactivated (DTaP - Hib - IPV), for intramuscular use	90698	CPT
Diphtheria, tetanus toxoids, and acellular pertussis vaccine (DTaP), for use in individuals younger than 7 years, for intramuscular use	90700	CPT
Diphtheria, tetanus toxoids, acellular pertussis vaccine, Hepatitis B, and poliovirus vaccine, inactivated (DTaP-HepB-IPV), for intramuscular use	90723	CPT
Diphtheria, tetanus toxoids, acellular pertussis vaccine, haemophilus influenza Type B, and poliovirus vaccine, inactivated (DTaP-Hib-IPV), for intramuscular use	V06.8	ICD9 Diagnosis

## F. ANALYSIS PLAN

We will estimate incidence rate ratios using conditional Poisson regression, where the outcome is the occurrence of febrile seizure and the main exposure of interest is interval type with respect to receipt of a IIV (i.e., risk or control interval). We will examine this association after adjustment for concomitant vaccination with PCV13 as well as DTaP-containing vaccines. To adjust for confounding by co-administration of PCV13 and DTaP-containing vaccines, we will include main effect terms in the model. Due to concerns with small sample size, adjustment for concomitant vaccination with DTaP-containing vaccines will be considered secondary as the additional adjustment will increase the degrees of freedom and therefore impact power.

The primary analysis will be adjusted for confounding by age and seasonality, while an alternative analysis will be unadjusted for age and seasonality. Specifically, in the primary analysis, we will adjust for age and seasonality using ICD9-coded data on the background rate of febrile seizures in the unvaccinated person-time in children ages 6-23 months among participating Sentinel Data Partners. Preparatory work will include assessing which influenza season(s) to use for background rates. The rates will be incorporated into the conditional Poisson model described above via the offset term to incorporate a child's different baseline risk of febrile seizures by age and calendar time across the child's follow-up.

To obtain offset terms that incorporate these differences in underlying rates of febrile seizures by age in weeks and calendar time, using the background rates of febrile seizures (narrow case definition) in the cohort, we will conduct Poisson regression modeling of the background incidence rate with age in months and calendar week in the influenza seasons as covariates. The regression equation might look like the following:

$$\lambda(\text{age, calendar weeks}) = \lambda_0 + \beta_1 * \text{age} + \beta_2 * \text{age}^2 + \beta_3 * \text{calendar week} + \beta_4 * \text{calendar week}^2$$

Additional polynomials or splines could be considered during model building. Categorical variables may be considered instead of continuous variables. Interaction terms may be considered if, for instance, the risk of febrile seizures by calendar week varies by age. The model for the background rate of febrile seizures will be fit and finalized prior to its application to age and seasonality adjustments in the primary analysis.

We will examine whether co-administration of PCV13 modifies the rate ratios by fitting an additional model for the potential effect modifier. For example, to examine the role of effect modification of, first, IIV and febrile seizures by concomitant PCV13, and second, PCV13 and febrile seizures by concomitant IIV, we will build a model with main effect terms for IIV and PCV13, and a two-way interaction term of IIV with PCV13. If the interaction term is not statistically significant, we will interpret the results as indicating there is no increased risk of febrile seizures beyond the risk from the independent vaccines. See Table 4 for a shell of the results we will generate for the primary analysis.

**Table 4. SHELL TABLE – Risk of febrile seizure following IIV and/or PCV13 vaccines**

Exposure	Cases in risk interval (0-1 day)	Cases in control interval (14-20 days)	Unadjusted RR (95% CI)	IRR, adjusted for age, calendar time (95%CI)	IRR, adjusted for age, calendar time, DTaP, and PCV13 or IIV (95% CI)
IIV					
PCV13					

We will also assess the possibility of effect modification of rate ratios by season.

#### IV. POWER CALCULATIONS

We performed power calculations for a range of incidence rate ratios for febrile seizures and IIV, adjusted for PCV13, for both seasons combined, using the narrow case definition. For the power calculations we estimated the number of febrile seizure cases among children ages 6 through 23 months for the participating Data Partners in July 2013 through June 2015 based on results of the 2010-11 PRISM study and the 2013-14 PRISM sequential surveillance study. (The assumed incidence rate ratio for PCV13 for the power calculations in Table 5 was 3.0, though the power results are similar for different values of the incidence rate ratio for PCV13.)

**Table 5: Power calculations for febrile seizures and IIV, adjusted for PCV13**

Estimated number of cases	Power by incidence rate ratio for IIV			
	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0
330	68	99	100	100

## V. DATA SET CREATION

We will use the Sentinel Common Data Model (SCDM) to access data from the Sentinel Distributed Database (SDD), which allows Data Partners to maintain control over patient-level data. Data Partners extract and output data into eight files of standard format. The files relevant for the present study are: Enrollment, Demographic, Encounter, Procedure, Diagnosis, and Dispensing.

The Workgroup will provide Data Partners with programs to be run on the patient-level files. The programs will produce aggregate data on vaccinations and febrile seizures and fever events organized in strata defined by variables such as week of vaccination, type of vaccine, dose number, age, Data Partner, and sex, with counts of patients, vaccine doses, and seizure and fever events in each stratum. Data Partners will return the aggregate data for analysis at the Sentinel Operations Center, using Sentinel's secure file transport methods.

## VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the following individuals for scientific and technical contributions to protocol development: David Cole, Lauren Zichittella. The authors would also like to thank the following individuals for project oversight, management, and administration: Diana Santiago, Megan Reidy.

## VII. REFERENCES

1. Blyth CC, Currie AJ, Wiertsema SP, et al. Trivalent influenza vaccine and febrile adverse events in Australia, 2010: Clinical features and potential mechanisms. *Vaccine* 2011.
2. CDC. Update: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) regarding use of CSL seasonal influenza vaccine (Afluria) in the United States during 2010-11. *MMWR Morbidity and mortality weekly report* 2010;59:989-92.
3. Afluria (CSL Ltd.) Influenza Virus Vaccine: Label Change - Risk of Fever and Febrile Seizure. . 2010. (Accessed January 14, 2015, at <http://www.fda.gov/downloads/BiologicsBloodVaccines/Vaccines/ApprovedProducts/UCM283336.pdf>.)
4. Hambidge SJ, Glanz JM, France EK, et al. Safety of trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine in children 6 to 23 months old. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association* 2006;296:1990-7.
5. Greene SK, Kulldorff M, Lewis EM, et al. Near real-time surveillance for influenza vaccine safety: proof-of-concept in the Vaccine Safety Datalink Project. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010;171:177-88.
6. Glanz JM, Newcomer SR, Hambidge SJ, et al. The safety of trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine in children ages 24 to 59 months. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2011;165:749-55.
7. Lee GM, Greene SK, Weintraub ES, et al. H1N1 and seasonal influenza vaccine safety in the Vaccine Safety Datalink Project. *Am J Prev Med* 2011;41:121-28.
8. Assessment of febrile seizures after trivalent influenza vaccines during the 2010-2011 influenza season in the Post-licensure Rapid Immunization Safety Monitoring Program. (Accessed May 5, 2015, at [www.mini-sentinel.org/work\\_products/PRISM/Mini-Sentinel\\_PRISM\\_Influenza-Vaccines-and-Febrile-Seizures-Report.pdf](http://www.mini-sentinel.org/work_products/PRISM/Mini-Sentinel_PRISM_Influenza-Vaccines-and-Febrile-Seizures-Report.pdf).)
9. Yih WK, Zichittella L, Sandhu SK, et al. Mini-Sentinel CBER/PRISM Surveillance: Assessing the Freshest Feasible Data for Conducting Active Influenza Vaccine Safety Surveillance. 2015. (Accessed May 5, 2015, at [http://www.mini-sentinel.org/work\\_products/PRISM/Mini-Sentinel\\_PRISM\\_Active-Influenza-Vaccine-Safety-Surveillance-Report.pdf](http://www.mini-sentinel.org/work_products/PRISM/Mini-Sentinel_PRISM_Active-Influenza-Vaccine-Safety-Surveillance-Report.pdf).)
10. Rowhani-Rahbar A, Klein NP, Dekker CL, et al. Biologically plausible and evidence-based risk intervals in immunization safety research. *Vaccine* 2012;31:271-7.
11. Klein NP, Fireman B, Yih WK, et al. Measles-mumps-rubella-varicella combination vaccine and the risk of febrile seizures. *Pediatrics* 2010;126:e1-8.
12. Jacobsen SJ, Ackerson BK, Sy LS, et al. Observational safety study of febrile convulsion following first dose MMRV vaccination in a managed care setting. *Vaccine* 2009;27:4656-61.
13. Mini-Sentinel Principles and Policies. (Accessed December 20, 2012, at [www.mini-sentinel.org/work\\_products/About\\_Us/Mini-Sentinel-Principles-and-Policies.pdf#page=36](http://www.mini-sentinel.org/work_products/About_Us/Mini-Sentinel-Principles-and-Policies.pdf#page=36).)