

# **Comparative Bleeding Risks Among NOAC Users With Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation Aged <65 Years in the Sentinel System**

**ISPE annual meeting 2024**

**Dr Marie Bradley PhD, MPharm, MSc.PH**  
**Senior Advisor, Real-World Evidence Analytics**  
**Office of Medical Policy**  
**Center for Drug Evaluation and Research**  
**U.S. Food and Drug Administration**

- **The contents are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of, nor an endorsement, by FDA/HHS or the U.S. Government**
- **I have no conflicts of interest related to this presentation**
- **This project was supported by Task Order 75F40122F19005 under Master Agreement 75F40119D10037 from the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA)**
- **Many thanks are due to Sentinel Data Partners, who provided data used in the analysis**
- **Mention of a commercial product should not be construed as actual or implied endorsement**

- **Background**
- **Objective**
- **Methods**
- **Discussion**
- **Strengths and limitations**
- **Conclusion**

- Randomized trials compared nonvitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) to warfarin, but not the safety of individual NOACs against each other
- Head-to-head observational studies comparing NOAC safety limited by:
  - Inadequate adjustment of confounding
  - Inappropriate outcome ascertainment
  - Small study sizes
  - Prevalent user design
- FDA study using Medicare data concluded that among older patients (aged  $\geq 65$  years) with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF), rivaroxaban had a less favorable benefit-harm profile compared to other NOACs. However, it remains unclear whether this less favorable benefit persists in younger users.

***Objective: To evaluate, in the FDA Sentinel System, if rivaroxaban use is associated with higher bleeding risk compared to apixaban or dabigatran in patients <65 years with NVAF***

# Methods

- **Data source:** FDA Sentinel System <https://www.sentinelinitiative.org/>
  - Distributed database using Sentinel common data model
  - Five Sentinel data partners contributed to the analysis
    - Four nationally representative commercial insurance plans
    - One state Medicaid partner
  - Routinely refreshed, quality-checked data
    - Clear provenance

- 128.7 million members accruing new data
- 1.3 billion person-years of data
- 22.3 billion pharmacy dispensings
- 24 billion unique medical encounters
- 73.2 million members with  $\geq 1$  lab test result

- **Study Design:** Retrospective new user cohort study
- **Study population and period:** Standard dose NOAC users with NVAf, aged 21-64 years between October 19, 2010, to February 28, 2022
- Continuous enrollment for  $\geq 183$  days
  - *Inclusion criterion: NVAf diagnosis 183 days prior to initiation of NOAC (index date)*
  - **Exclusion criteria:** *Dialysis, kidney replacement, deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, joint replacement, mitral stenosis, valve replacement or repair, other anticoagulant dispensing, institutional stay encounter (index date only)*
- **Exposure:** New initiators of standard dose apixaban, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, with a diagnosis of NVAf in the previous 183 days
  - **Three pairwise NOAC-NOAC comparisons:**
    - **Rivaroxaban vs. Dabigatran, Rivaroxaban vs. Apixaban, Dabigatran vs. Apixaban**



- **Baseline Covariates**
  - Demographic factors, medical conditions and medication use, stroke and bleeding risk scores, health care utilization
- **Analysis**
  - Inverse probability of treatment weighting with stabilized average treatment effect weights were applied separately for each pairwise comparison.
  - Cox proportional-hazards regression was used to estimate adjusted hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for outcomes: **intracranial hemorrhage (ICH), major extracranial bleeding (MEB), and GI bleeding (GIB)**
  - Subgroup analyses by age, sex, CHA2DS2-VASc score, and HAS-BLED score
- **Outcomes**
  - Defined using previously validated algorithms based on *ICD-9-CM* diagnosis codes

# Study Design Diagram

Index Date (Time Zero): Initiation of standard dose NOAC

## Inclusion Assessment Window

- Continuous enrollment ( $\leq 45$ -day gaps allowed)
- NVAf diagnosis
- Age 21-64 years [day 0]

Days [-183, 0]

## Exclusion Assessment Window

- Selected diagnoses and procedures
- Dispensing of any anticoagulant including warfarin [-183, -1]
- Institutional stay encounter or non-index NOAC [day 0]

Days [-183, 0]

## Baseline Covariate Assessment Window

Days [-183, 0]

## Follow-Up (as-treated approach)

- Episode considered continuous if gap between dispensings of  $\leq 3$  days

Days [1, Censor]

## Censoring Criteria

Death, query end date, disenrollment, any outcome event, end of exposure episode, comparator drug dispensing, low-dose of current exposure, warfarin dispensing, other NOAC dispensing, kidney transplant or dialysis, institutional stay encounter

Days [1, Censor]

# Results

## Select demographics and event rates after IPTW:

	Rivaroxaban vs. Apixaban		Rivaroxaban vs. Dabigatran		Dabigatran vs. Apixaban	
	Rivaroxaban	Apixaban	Rivaroxaban	Dabigatran	Dabigatran	Apixaban
<b>New users (n)</b>	57,965	96,013	57,127	19,679	18,882	96,132
<b>Mean age (SD)</b>	56.6 (7.2)	56.7 (7.3)	56.3 (7.4)	56.2 (7.4)	56.6 (7.1)	56.8 (7.2)
<b>Female (%)</b>	16,208 (28.0)	26,985 (28.1)	14,310 (25)	4,821 (24.5)	5,190 (27.5)	27,288 (28.4)
<b>Outcome events MEB (n)</b>	224	204	188	38	39	206
<b>Weighted Incidence Rate per 1,000 Person Years</b>	11.6	6.4	9.9	7.3	7.8	6.4
<b>Outcome events GI bleed (n)</b>	191	174	157	34	37	174
<b>Weighted Incidence Rate per 1,000 Person Years</b>	10.0	5.4	8.3	6.6	7.2	5.4
<b>Outcome events ICH (n)</b>	34	35	29	7	8	37
<b>Weighted Incidence Rate per 1,000 Person Years</b>	1.8	1.1	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.2

# Results (cont'd)



- Increased risk of GI bleed and MEB when rivaroxaban compared to apixaban use
- Suggested increased risk of all outcomes when rivaroxaban compared to dabigatran use, and when comparing dabigatran to apixaban, but not statistically significant

Outcome	Rivaroxaban vs. Apixaban	Rivaroxaban vs. Dabigatran	Dabigatran vs. Apixaban
	HR 95%CI	HR 95%CI	HR 95%CI
Gastrointestinal Bleed	1.92 (1.54, 2.39)	1.32 (0.89, 1.96)	1.34 (0.88, 2.05)
Major extracranial bleed	1.91 (1.56, 2.34)	1.42 (0.98, 2.07)	1.22 (0.82, 1.81)
Intracranial hemorrhage	1.63 (0.99, 2.70)	1.18 (0.52, 2.67)	1.43 (0.58, 3.52)

- Results in the subgroups aligned with main analysis

# Results (cont'd)



Compared to previous FDA study in Medicare recipients aged over 65 years.

Outcome	Sentinel System Rivaroxaban vs. Apixaban (≤65 years)	Graham et al.* Rivaroxaban vs. Apixaban (≥65 years)	Sentinel System Rivaroxaban vs. Dabigatran (≤65 years)	Graham et al.* Rivaroxaban vs. Dabigatran (≥65 years)	Sentinel System Dabigatran vs. Apixaban (≤65 years)	Graham et al.* Dabigatran vs. Apixaban (≥65 years)
	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)	HR (95%CI)
<b>GI Bleeding</b>	1.92 (1.54, 2.39)	2.83 (2.47, 3.25)	1.32 (0.89, 1.96)	1.27 (1.16, 1.40)	1.34 (0.88, 2.05)	2.23 (1.93, 2.58)
<b>Major extracranial bleeding</b>	1.91 (1.56, 2.34)	2.70 (2.38, 3.05)	1.42 (0.98, 2.07)	1.32 (1.21, 1.45)	1.22 (0.82, 1.81)	2.04 (1.78, 2.32)
<b>Intracranial hemorrhage</b>	1.63 (0.99, 2.70)	1.21 (0.94, 1.55)	1.18 (0.52, 2.67)	1.71 (1.35, 2.17)	1.43 (0.58, 3.52)	0.70 (0.53, 0.94)

\*Am J Med. 2019 May;132(5):596-604

- **Aligned with findings from previous FDA studies, rivaroxaban use was associated with significantly increased risks of gastrointestinal bleeding and major extracranial bleeding compared to apixaban**
  - Non-significant increased risk of intracranial hemorrhage
  - Non-significant increased risks of these outcomes for rivaroxaban compared with dabigatran
- **Smaller numbers of bleeding outcomes in NOAC users aged less than 65 years compared to previous studies in older adults**
  - May have affected statistical power
- **Pharmacologic rationale:**
  - NOACs half-life of about 12 hours
  - Dabigatran and apixaban are dosed twice daily, rivaroxaban is dosed once daily
  - Rivaroxaban once daily might increase risk of bleeding
  - Concern raised at FDA advisory committee meeting convened prior to rivaroxaban's approval

# Strengths and limitations



- Largest study to date to compare safety of NOACs to each other in those <65 years old
- ATE weights used for balancing characteristics in pairwise comparisons preserved sample size
- Bleeding events are typically less common in younger ages (approx. 80% of patients with AF are over 65 years)
- Included only initiators of standard-dose NOACs
  - Effects may differ in patients treated with lower doses
- First-time users of anticoagulant for stroke prevention in NVAF
  - Results might differ in patient switching from warfarin



- **Among patients less than 65 years old—treated with standard-dose NOACs for NVAF in the Sentinel System and with similar baseline characteristics—rivaroxaban use was associated with a less favorable benefit-harm profile than apixaban**
- **These findings largely align with findings on bleeding risk in NOAC users from previous FDA studies in older adults**

# Acknowledgements

- **FDA team**
  - **David Graham, Rongmei Zhang**
- **Sentinel Operations Center**
  - **John Connolly, Andrew Simon, Joy Kolonoski**