

Signal Identification Studies in Sentinel

2024 ISPE Annual Meeting

Judith C. Maro

August 2024

Disclosures

- This Sentinel Operations Center (SOC) is funded by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) contract number 75F40119D10037.
- The views expressed in this presentation are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the US FDA.
- Many thanks are due to those who participated in this work: Sentinel Data Partners who provided data used in the analysis: CVS Health (Aetna), Blue Bell, PA; Carelon Research/Elevance Health, Wilmington, DE; Duke University School of Medicine, Department of Population Health Sciences, Durham, NC, through the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services which provided data; HealthPartners Institute for Education and Research, Minneapolis, Minnesota; Humana Healthcare Research Inc., Louisville, KY; Kaiser Permanente Colorado Institute for Health Research, Aurora, CO; Kaiser Permanente Hawai'i, Center for Integrated Health Care Research, Honolulu, HI; Kaiser Permanente Mid-Atlantic States, Mid-Atlantic Permanente Research Institute, Rockville, MD; Kaiser Permanente Northwest Center for Health Research, Portland, OR; Kaiser Permanente Washington Health Research Institute, Seattle, WA; Marshfield Clinic Research Institute, Marshfield, WI; OptumInsight Life Sciences Inc., Boston, MA; Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Department of Health Policy, Nashville, TN, through the TennCare Division of the Tennessee Department of Finance & Administration which provided data.

Signal Identification – Routine Pharmacovigilance

Risk-based approach for surveillance

- Product focus
 - New Molecular Entities (NMEs) and other novel medications
- Event focus
 - Serious, unlabeled adverse events
 - Adverse events of special interest (AESI)

Spontaneous reports, literature, and periodic safety reports are the main data sources surveilled

Best Practices for FDA Staff in the Postmarketing Safety Surveillance of Human Drug and Biological Products

> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)

> > January 202 Drug Safety

Institute of Medicine Drug Safety System Assessment

In 2006, the FDA requested that the Institute of Medicine **examine the system of drug safety in the US** Institute of Medicine published "The Future of Drug Safety: Promoting and Protecting the Health of the Public" in 2007 calling for a comprehensive approach to drug safety

THE FUTURE OF DRUG SAFETY

PROMOTING AND PROTECTING THE HEALTH OF THE PUBLIC

4.1: The committee recommends that in order to improve the generation of new safety signals and hypotheses, CDER

- (a) conduct a systematic, scientific review of the AERS system,
- (b) identify and implement changes in key factors that could lead to a more efficient system, and
- (c) systematically implement statistical-surveillance methods on a regular and routine basis for the automated generation of new safety signals

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE

Committee on the Assessment of the US Drug Safety System; Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice; Institute of Medicine. *The Future of Drug Safety: Promoting and Protecting the Health of the Public*; Stratton, K., Baciu, A., Burke, S. P., Eds.; National Academies Press: Washington, D.C., 2007. https://doi.org/10.17226/11750.

FAERS vs. Sentinel for Signal Identification



FAERS vs. Sentinel for Signal Identification



Sentinel Signal Identification- Where are we?

Credible source of signals? \checkmark

• Conceptual support, demonstration projects

Signal tools are scalable and rapid? \checkmark

- Foundational methods/infrastructure projects completed
- Enhancements implemented to facilitate Sentinel Signal Identification

Integrated into PV system efficiently?

- Pilots and ongoing projects are informing process development
- Expect tools and approaches will continue to evolve



Bate A, et al. Ther Adv Drug Saf. 2019; 10: 2042098619864744.

Completed Sentinel Signal Identification Analyses

Signal Identification for Ozempic (semaglutide)



Conclusions: All of the alerts observed were either labeled adverse events, or comorbid conditions of people likely using Ozempic not only for glucose control but also for weight loss. None of the alerts required further follow-up.

Signal Identification for Aimovig (erenuma)



Is there an increase in frequency of adverse events during erenumab risk period compared to control period?

Methods

Self-Controlled Risk Interval Design

- Variable risk window
- Fixed risk window with pre-exposure control window



Analysis and Findings

- Treesca
- Scanned ~83,000 non-pregnancy and non-cancer outcomes
- Sensitivities based on encounter setting



63,412 patients within variable risk window cohort 77,152 patients within fixed risk window cohort

Erenumab users were mostly female, had higher prevalence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and concomitant use of triptans Significant Alerts: Constipation, Abnormal findings on diagnostic imaging of central nervous system, Headache, Other specified cerebrovascular disease

Other Significant Alerts: Sepsis, Pneumonia, Cough, COVID-19 (most likely related to a COVID outbreak)

Conclusions: The alert for "Other specified cerebrovascular disease" required follow-up with a Patient Episode Profile Retrieval (PEPR). Low suspicion that these diagnoses were related to erenumab exposure.

Signal Identification for Skyrizi (risankizumab)



orders for radiology in support of hospitalization for more serious events.

Takeaways: Integrating Multi-Modal Data Streams

- FDA is working towards integrating Sentinel Signal Identification into its routine surveillance activities
 - Output complements surveillance of FAERS, periodic safety reports, literature, and other data streams
 - Best practice/process development underway
- Opportunities to refine approaches and processes
 - Curating additional "trees" (e.g., should we have a designated medical event-like tree?)
 - Exploring signaling in EHR-based signal detection

Acknowledgements

Zarxio

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Dutcher, Sarah Eworuke, Efe Hernández-Muñoz, José Mundkur, Mallika Muñoz, Monica Ryan, Qin Setse, Rosanna

Sentinel Operations Center

Epperson, Meredith Hou, Laura Maro, Judith Marshall, Jim Siranosian, Liz Whited, Emma

<u>Ozempic</u>

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Blum, Michael Chamberlain, Christine Eworuke, Efe Hernández-Muñoz, José Ma, Yong Muñoz, Monica Stojanovic, Danijela Woronow, Daniel

Sentinel Operations Center

Epperson, Meredith Maro, Judith Marshall, Jim Peters, Alexander Siranosian, Liz Whited, Emma

<u>Skyrizi</u>

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Apata, Jummai Herity, Leah Hines, Michelle Hernández-Muñoz, José Muñoz, Monica

Sentinel Operations

Center Brisbane, Gifty Burk, Jillian Campbell, Derek Iyer, Geetha Kim, Nathan Maro, Judith McElroy, Nora Michnick, Ashley Nandyala, Sampada Whited, Emma Wiley, Megan

<u>Dupixent</u>

U.S. Food and Drug Administration Apata, Jummai Bailey, Jonn Hernández-Muñoz, José Jones, Jamal Muñoz, Monica Reyes, Melissa

Sentinel Operations Center

Adimadhyam, Sruthi Beers, Elizabeth Burk, Jillian Desibhatla, Mukund Kolonoski, Joy Lewis, Maria Maro, Judith McElroy, Nora McGown, Sam Peters, Alexander Schoeplein, Ryan Thai, Thuy

<u>Aimovig</u>

U.S. Food and Drug Administration Blum, Michael Brinker, Allen Croteau, David Herity, Leah Hernández-Muñoz, José Kidd, James Ma, Yong Muñoz, Monica

Sentinel Operations Center

Beers, Elizabeth Campbell, Derek Epperson, Meredith Kanani, Xhulia Mai, Xiaodan Melody Maro, Judith Marshall, Jim Peters, Alexander Siranosian, Liz

Baloxavir / Oseltamivir

U.S. Food and Drug Administration

Herity, Leah Hernández-Muñoz, José Jones, Jamal Kidd, James Ma, Yong Muñoz, Monica Pratt, Natasha

Sentinel Operations Center

Alam, Sarah Beers, Elizabeth Harvey, Josh Kolonoski, Joy Maro, Judith McGown, Sam Michnick, Ashley Peters, Alexander Schoeplein, Ryan Wiley, Megan

Vanderbilt University Medical Center Antoon, James



Thank You

Choosing between Self-Controlled and Cohort Design

- Self-Control
 - Advantage is control for time-invariant characteristics by design
 - Asks the question: WHEN is there an etiological risk window for a particular outcome following medical exposure? It cannot detect if there is a sustained increase in an outcome over time.
 - Vulnerable to time-varying confounding and a poor choice for when there is a rapidly changing health state (e.g. people who are truly acutely ill)
- Cohort (Usually Active Concurrent Comparator but Historical Comparators are possible)
 - Advantage is clinical equipoise provided an appropriate comparator can be identified
 - Mitigates (but does not eliminate) concerns about time-varying confounding, latent coding, confounding by indication

Self Controlled Design



Propensity-Score Adjusted Design Diagram



https://dev.sentinelsystem.org/projects/SENTINEL/repos/sentinel-routine-querying-tooldocumentation/browse/files/file350-type020304-sifunctional.md

Adverse Events after Zarxio (filgrastim-sndz) as Compared to Neupogen (filgrastim)

1:1 PROPENSITY SCORE MATCHED SIGNAL IDENTIFICATION STUDY



Comparative Post-Marketing Safety Assessment: Baloxavir Marboxil (BXM) vs Oseltamivir (OTV)

